

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE RESORT VILLAGE OF  
B-SAY-TAH HELD ON THE 16<sup>th</sup> DAY OF JULY, 2011 IN THE ST. JOHN'S ANGLICAN  
CHURCH HALL, FORT QU'APPELLE, IN THE PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN.  
TIME 1:00 P.M.

SYNOPSIS

**PRESENT:** Mayor Ron Cox, Councillors Sue Cherewyk, Craig Ell and  
Jim Muirhead,  
Administrator Caralen Okolita

**PUBLIC MEETING CHAIR:** Ron Cox

**PUBLIC IN ATTENDANCE:**

Corinne Cameron	Nancy Cameron
Ted Cherewyk	Jack & Dorothy Peterson
Pat Taylor	Allen & Patti Kramer
Nick & Dianne Lipon	Bernie & Al Hoffert
Ken & Claire Eberts	Doug & Nancy Porcina
Cathy Williams	Jane Acteson-Grill
Mark Glabus	Colleen & Ray Cameron
Emma & Ray Sombach	Jason Lipon
Mitch Molnar	Don & Claire Kramer
Joni Darke	Bill King
Ken & Carolyn Sunquist	Gus Vandepolder
Sandra Pollard	Shannon Jarvis
Isaac Sneath	Joelle Mader
Carol Ingham	Noreen Leitner
Gord Krattenthaler	Mike Maier
Eileen Lewko	

The public is provided with a verbal agenda specifying the purpose of the meeting, the rules of conduct, the public hearing procedure that will be followed.

The Chair identifies and reads a summary of the plan and bylaw for which the hearing is held.

Official Community Plan (OCP)

No written submissions were received by the administrator.

The following ratepayers made verbal comments, points summarized below, on the OCP

- Mitch Molnar
  - o Not enough time to review
  
- Jane Acteson-Grill
  - o Map and land use is illegible
  - o Reinstated with zones and dedicated lands
  - o State or define dedicated lands ie: the Commons and Main Beach
  - o 2.1, 2.5, 4.1, no vision of the resort character
  - o 5.1 boat launch – public lands vs private for tax payers only

Councillor Ross Cowan arrived at 1:10 p.m.

- Ken Sunquist
  - o Consultant should have been present
  - o What are the major changes, need a comparison report
  - o Municipal reserves: Commons/Beach
  - o Increase to density objection
- Patrick McGinn
  - o Consultant should have been present
- Joni Darke
  - o Could we be losing what the RV really is about
  - o Restrictions too strong for summer residents
  - o Building codes are short sighted
- Corinne Cameron
  - o What is the definition of Resort Village?
- Rede Widstrand
  - o Has called PARCS and Municipal Affairs for a definition of Resort Village.
- Carolyn Sunquist
  - o Has the RV been approached by a First Nation Group?
- Bonnie Schaffer
  - o What is the process?
  - o First hearing done or not?
  - o Better communications
- Patrick McGinn
  - o Request another public meeting
- Allen Kramer
  - o Is there flexibility in the process, another “kick at the cat”?
- Ken Sunquist
  - o Will council provide differences between old and new

Ron Cox commented that the OCP was started from scratch and was far overdue.

- Ken Sunquist
  - o Agrees it is overdue and gave thanks for trying to bring it up to date.
- Gus Vandepolder
  - o Where is the planner?
- Carol Ingham
  - o Perhaps a bulleted statement that can be sent electronically and made available to the public.
- Patrick McGinn
  - o Would it be an option to have the planner join this type of meeting through a teleconference?

## Zoning Bylaw

No written submissions were received by the administrator.

The following ratepayers made verbal comments, points summarized below, on the Zoning Bylaw

- Jane Acteson-Grill
  - Objection to zoning – map illegible
  - Legend does not match plan/zoning
  - 6.5 zoning is not in the OCP
  - 4.1 a. b. inconsistent legend to this section of the document
  - 6.1.4.3 vacation trailers, short sited due to situations like the flood, can there be a provision for extensions
  - Too many inconsistencies
  
- Mitch Molnar
  - Process is flawed
  - Hope that council will start over, if it took 21 years already, what is another to get it right.
  
- Pat Taylor
  - Building size & minimum size required – wording seems open to interpretation
  - 6.1.1.1 lakeshore 900 sq ft minimum size – is that on one level or 2
  - Residential area 30ft
  - Can't build anything smaller than 30x30? Is this necessary, if hillside, you may not want to cut into the hill to accomplish that.
  - Bigger is not always better in a more environmentally friendly time
  
- Joni Darke
  - What are the changes that were made?
  - Need to have a comparison of old and new for people to view
  - No idea what we might be giving up from the old
  - 6.1.4.1 frontage size, lots of people have a smaller frontage than 50'
  - Should not have a document that has so much room for interpretation, should be fact, no difference when different people review it.
  
- Patrick McGinn
  - Procedure should be redone
  - More information on detached buildings
  - What is the definition of a lot?
  - What are the grandfather clauses?
  - Guest Houses – how are they affected if already constructed?
  - How is the Bylaw changed or different?
  - Need more time to review documents
  
- Mike Maier
  - B&B – 4 month limit should be changed in definitions
  - B&B had displaced flood victims stay for 5 weeks
  
- Allen Kramer
  - Businesses are not listed in the definitions

- Ken Sunquist
  - o Need to be able to understand the changes ie: small changes to the side yards
  - o 50 foot frontages are problematic for every person on the “point”

Ron Cox addressed the future flood elevation and that it will likely change to 481.6 to accommodate new high level and wave actions.

Adjourn Public Hearing 2:04 p.m.